

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/035,921	10/27/2001	Senthil Kumar	REIM-0002	2210
27964 7590 10/18/2007 HITT GAINES P.C. P.O. BOX 832570 RICHARDSON, TX 75083			EXAMINER	
			VAN BRAMER, JOHN W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
		•		
•			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@hittgaines.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

I	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/035,921	KUMAR ET AL.	
ľ	Examiner	Art Unit	
١	John Van Bramer	3622	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 06 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPÉP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____. 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. The For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. \(\times \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other: _____. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/035,921

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to Claim 8 whicih introduces a coupling step alters the scope of the claim and as such will require additional search and/or consideration. Additionally, the examiner can find no reference to the claimed "coupling" in the applicants specification. The amendments to independent claims 1 and 15 also alters the scope of these claims and, in light of the applicants arguments, would also require futher search and/or consideration. In an attempt to further the prosecution of the case, the examiner notes that the proposed amendment is directed towards the contents of the an advertising schedule which is being supplied by some remote system. Since the operation of the remote system is not positively claimed, the type of data per se that it produces and sends provides little if any patentable weight. The applicants specification has support forclaiming a remote system that generates such schedules in paragraphs [0037]-[0042], so the examiner suggests amending the claims to include as the first component in the claims "a remote system that generates an advertising schedule dependent on plays of said media".

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendments alter the scope of the claims. However, in response to the applicants arguments that Radziewicz does not teach scheduleing advertisements based upon "plays of said media, the examiner notes that paragraph [0016] of the applicants specification provides an example of the claimed "plays of said media" in which indicates that advertisements can be displayed after certain media is selected by a customer. As disclosed by Radziewicz in Col 23, lines 17-32, the determination of whether an advertisement is to be displayed is based upon whether or not the customer has selected media. If the customer selected media within a certain time frame, the advertisement is scheduled. Therefore, the Radziewicz does disclose scheduling advertising based upon the "plays of said media.